arXiv:1704.05702v1 [math.AP] 19 Apr 2017

Blow-up problems for nonlinear parabolic equations on locally finite graphs

Yong Lin Yiting Wu

Abstract Let $G=(V,E)$ be a locally finite connected weighted graph, Δ be the usual graph Laplacian. In this paper, we study the blow-up problems for the nonlinear parabolic equation $u_t=\Delta u+f(u)$ on G. The blow-up phenomenons of the equation are discussed in terms of two cases: (i) an initial condition is given; (ii) a Dirichlet boundary condition is given. We prove that if f satisfies appropriate conditions, then the solution of the equation blows up in a finite time.

Keywords: Blow-up, Parabolic equations, Locally finite graphs
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B44; 35K55; 35R02

1 Introduction and main results

As is known to us, many structures in our real life can be represented by a connected graph whose vertices represent nodes and whose edges represent their links, such as the internet, brain, organizations and so on. In recent years, the investigations of discrete weighted Laplacians and various equations on graphs have attracted attention from many authors (see [1, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 18, 20] and references therein). There have been some works on dealing with blow-up phenomenon of the equations on graphs, for example, Xin et al. [20] investigated the blow-up properties of the Dirichlet boundary value problem for $u_t=\Delta u+u^p$ $(p>0)$ on a finite graph. However, as far as we know, the blow-up phenomenon on a locally finite graph has not been studied in the literature. The main concern of this paper is to discuss the blow-up phenomenon of the nonlinear parabolic equation $u_t=\Delta u+f(u)$ on a locally finite graph. This equation is the mathematical model of heat diffusion and can be used to model solid fuel ignition [2]. The function $f(u)$ is typically a nonlinear function, such as $u^p(p>1)$. The main purpose of the paper is to study blow-up phenomenon of the nonlinear parabolic equation in terms of the following two cases: (i) an initial condition is given; (ii) a Dirichlet boundary condition is given.

Let $G=(V,E)$ be a locally finite connected graph, where V denotes the vertex set and E denotes the edge set. For any $T>0$, a function $u=u(t,x)$ is said to be a solution of (1.1) in $[0,T]\times V$, if the equation (1.1) is satisfied by u in $[0,T]\times V$, meanwhile, u is bounded and continuous with respect to t in $[0,T]\times V$. Moreover, we say that a solution u blows up in a finite time T, if there exists $x\in V$ such that

$$|u(t,x)|\to+\infty \quad \text{as} \quad t\to T^-.$$

Focussing on the research goals mentioned above, in this paper, we first deal with the blow-up phenomenon of the following Cauchy problem on G

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,x)=\Delta u(t,x)+f(u(t,x)), & (t,x)\in(0,+\infty)\times V,\\ u(0,x)=a(x), & x\in V, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where Δ is the $\mu-$Laplacian on G.

Next, given a non-empty finite subset $\Omega\subset V$, we denote the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω° by Δ_Ω. We consider the blow-up phenomenon arising from the following discrete nonlinear

parabolic equations on G

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,x) = \Delta_\Omega u(t,x) + f(u(t,x)), & (t,x) \in (0,+\infty) \times \Omega^\circ, \\ u(0,x) = a(x), & x \in \Omega^\circ, \\ u(t,x) = 0, & (t,x) \in [0,+\infty) \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\partial\Omega$ is the boundary of Ω, Ω° is the interior of Ω, which are express by

$$\partial\Omega := \{x \in \Omega : \exists\, y \in \Omega^c \text{ such that } y \text{ is adjacent to } x\}, \ \Omega^\circ := \Omega \setminus \partial\Omega.$$

The earlier blow-up results on parabolic equations on $\mathbb{R}$ are due to Kaplan [13] and Fujita [6, 7]. For the finite time blow-up, Osgood [17] gave a criterion, namely, the nonlinear term on the right hand side of equation $u_t = \Delta u + f(u)$ must satisfy

$$\int_r^\infty \frac{d\tau}{f(\tau)} < \infty \quad \text{for some } r > 0.$$

In this paper, we consider the blow-up problems for the nonlinear parabolic equation $u_t = \Delta u + f(u)$ on a locally finite graph G. We establish our results under assumptions that f satisfies the following properties:
(H1) f is continuous in $[0,+\infty)$;
(H2) $f(0) \geq 0$ and $f(\tau) > 0$ for all $\tau > 0$;
(H3) f is convex in $[0,+\infty)$;
(H4) $\int_r^\infty \frac{d\tau}{f(\tau)} < \infty$ for some $r > 0$.

Our main results are stated in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 below.

Theorem 1.1. Let G be a locally finite connected graph and have the volume growth of positive degree m. Suppose f satisfies the assumptions (H1)-(H4) with $f(0) = 0$, and $a(x)$ given by (1.1) is bounded, non-negative and not trivial in V. Set

$$F(r) = \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{f(\tau)}.$$

If there exists a $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that

$$F(t^{-1}) \leq t^{\frac{\theta}{m}} \tag{1.3}$$

for sufficiently large t, then the non-negative solution u of (1.1) blows up in a finite time.

Theorem 1.2. Let G be a locally finite connected graph. Suppose f satisfies the assumptions (H1)-(H4) with $f \in C^1[0,+\infty)$, and $a(x)$ given by (1.2) is bounded, non-negative and not trivial in Ω°. If $f(\tau) - \lambda_1\tau > 0$ for $\tau > \kappa$, then the solution u of (1.2) blows up in a finite time, where $\kappa = \int_{\Omega^\circ} a(x)\phi_1(x) > 0$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\Omega)$ is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta_\Omega$, $\phi_1(x)$ is the eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_1(\Omega)$.

Remark 1.1. In particular, if we choose $f(u) = u^{1+\alpha}$ $(\alpha > 0)$ in Theorem 1.1, then

$$F(u) = \int_u^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1+\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\alpha}u^{-\alpha}.$$

The Theorem 1.1 shows that the solution of (1.1) blows up if the follow condition (c1) is satisfied.
(c1). There exists a $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that for sufficiently large t,

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}t^\alpha \leq t^{\frac{\theta}{m}}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad t^{\frac{m\alpha-\theta}{m}} \leq \alpha,$$

where m and α are positive constants.
It is easy to find that for sufficiently large t and positive constants m, α, θ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(c1) &\Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} \exists\, \theta \in (0,1) \text{ such that } 0 < m\alpha < \theta, & \text{for } \alpha < 1 \\ \exists\, \theta \in (0,1) \text{ such that } 0 < m\alpha \leq \theta, & \text{for } \alpha \geq 1 \end{cases} \\ &\Longleftrightarrow 0 < m\alpha < 1.\end{aligned}$$

The assertions of Remark 1.1 leads to the following result, which was obtained by Lin and Wu in an earlier paper [15].

Corollary 1.1. Let G be a locally finite connected graph and have the volume growth of positive degree m. If $0 < m\alpha < 1$, then the solution of the following semilinear heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha} & \text{in } (0, +\infty) \times V \\ u(0, x) = a(x) & \text{in } V \end{cases}$$

blows up for any bounded, non-negative and non-trivial initial value, where $\alpha > 0$.

Remark 1.2. Let $f(u) = u^{1+\alpha}$ $(\alpha > 0)$, the Theorem 1.2 shows that the solution of (1.2) blows up if

$$\sum_{x \in \Omega^\circ} \mu(x) a(x) \phi_1(x) \geq \lambda_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

The remaining parts of this paper are organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some concepts, notations and known results which are essential to prove the main results of this paper. In Sections 3 and 4, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 respectively.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we write $y \sim x$ if y is adjacent to x, and allow the edges on the graphs to be weighted. Weights are given by a function $\omega : V \times V \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\omega_{xy} = \omega_{yx}$ and $\omega_{xy} > 0$ if and only if $x \sim y$. Furthermore, let $\mu : V \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a positive measure on the vertices of the G. In this paper, we only focus on the graphs satisfying

$$D_\mu := \max_{x \in V} \frac{m(x)}{\mu(x)} < \infty,$$

where $m(x) := \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{xy}$.

2.1 The Laplace operators on graphs

A function on a graph is understood as a function defined on its vertex set. We use the notation $C(V)$ to denote the set of real functions on V. For any $1 \leq p < \infty$, we denote by

$$\ell^p(V, \mu) = \left\{ h \in C(V) : \sum_{x \in V} \mu(x) |h(x)|^p < \infty \right\}$$

the set of ℓ^p integrable functions on V with respect to the measure μ. For $p = \infty$, let

$$\ell^\infty(V, \mu) = \left\{ h \in C(V) : \sup_{x \in V} |h(x)| < \infty \right\}.$$

The integration of a function $h \in C(V)$ is defined by

$$\int_V h d\mu = \sum_{x \in V} \mu(x) h(x).$$

For any function $h \in C(V)$, the $\mu-$Laplacian Δ of h is defined by

$$\Delta h(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{xy}(h(y) - h(x)),$$

it can be checked that $D_\mu < \infty$ is equivalent to the $\mu-$Laplacian Δ being bounded on $\ell^p(V, \mu)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$ (see [12]).

Given a finite subset $\Omega \subset V$, denote by $C(\Omega^\circ)$ the set of real functions on Ω°. For any function $h \in C(\Omega^\circ)$, the Dirichlet Laplacian Δ_Ω on Ω° is defined as follows : first extend h to the whole V by setting $h \equiv 0$ outside Ω° and then set

$$\Delta_\Omega h = (\Delta h)|_{\Omega^\circ}.$$

Thus for any $x \in \Omega^\circ$, we have

$$\Delta_\Omega h(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{xy}(h(y) - h(x)),$$

where $h(y) = 0$ whenever $y \notin \Omega^\circ$. A simple calculation shows that $-\Delta_\Omega$ is a positive self-adjoint operator (see [8, 18]). We arrange the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_\Omega$ in increasing order, i.e. $\lambda_1(\Omega) \leq \lambda_2(\Omega) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_N(\Omega)$, where $N = \#\Omega$. Indeed, $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ is positive and its corresponding eigenfunction $\phi_1(x)$ can be chosen as $\phi_1(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Omega^\circ$ (see [3, 4, 8]). In this paper, $\phi_1(x)$ is normalized as $\sum_{x \in \Omega^\circ} \mu(x)\phi_1(x) = 1$.

2.2 The volume growth of graphs

The connected graph can be endowed with its graph distance $d(x, y)$, i.e. the smallest number of edges of a path between two vertices x and y, then we define balls $B(x, r) = \{y \in V : d(x, y) \leq r\}$ for any $r \geq 0$. The volume of a subset U of V can be written as $V(U)$ and $V(U) = \sum_{x \in U} \mu(x)$, for convenience, we usually abbreviate $V\big(B(x, r)\big)$ by $V(x, r)$. In addition, we say that a graph has the volume growth of positive degree m, if for all $x \in V$, $r \geq 0$,

$$V(x, r) \leq c_0 r^m,$$

where c_0 are some positive constants.

2.3 The heat kernel on graphs

We say that a function $p : (0, +\infty) \times V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ is a fundamental solution of the heat equation $u_t = \Delta u$ on $G = (V, E)$, if for any bounded initial condition $u_0 : V \to \mathbb{R}$, the function

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{y \in V} p(t, x, y)u_0(y) \quad (t > 0,\, x \in V)$$

is differentiable in t and satisfies the heat equation, and for any $x \in V$, $\lim\limits_{t \to 0^+} u(t, x) = u_0(x)$ holds.

For completeness, we recall some important properties of the heat kernel $p(t, x, y)$ on a locally finite graph, as follows:

Proposition 2.1 (see [18, 19])**.** For $t, s > 0$ and any $x, y \in V$, we have
(i) $p(t, x, y) = p(t, y, x)$,
(ii) $p(t, x, y) > 0$,
(iii) $\sum_{y \in V} \mu(y)p(t, x, y) \leq 1$,
(iv) $\partial_t p(t, x, y) = \Delta_x p(t, x, y) = \Delta_y p(t, x, y)$,
(v) $\sum_{z \in V} \mu(z)p(t, x, z)p(s, z, y) = p(t + s, x, y)$.

Lin et al. [14] utilized the volume growth condition to obtain a on-diagonal lower estimate of heat kernel on locally finite graphs for large time. We recall it bellow.

Proposition 2.2 (see [14])**.** Assume that G satisfies the volume growth, then for large enough t,

$$p(t,x,x) \geq \frac{1}{4V(x, C_0 t\log t)},$$

where $C_0 > 2D_\mu e$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

As a preparation for the proof of Theorem 1.1, we first introduce two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let G be a locally finite connected graph. For any $T > 0$, if g is bounded in V, then for any $x \in V$, $\sum_{y\in V} \mu(y)p(t,x,y)g(y)$ converges uniformly in $(0,T]$.

Proof. We begin with recalling a previous result which was obtained in [18]. If Δ is a bounded operator, then we have

$$P_t g(x) = e^{t\Delta}g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{t^k\Delta^k}{k!}g(x) = \sum_{y\in V}\mu(y)p(t,x,y)g(y). \tag{3.1}$$

Since g is bounded in V, we can assume $|g(x)| \leq A$ in V, then

$$|\Delta g(x)| = \left|\frac{1}{\mu(x)}\sum_{y\sim x}\omega_{xy}\big(g(y)-g(x)\big)\right| \leq 2D_\mu A.$$

By iteration, we obtain for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in V$,

$$\left|\Delta^k g(x)\right| \leq 2^k D_\mu^k A.$$

Thus for any $t \in (0,T]$ and $x \in V$,

$$\left|\frac{t^k\Delta^k}{k!}g(x)\right| \leq \left|\frac{T^k\Delta^k}{k!}g(x)\right| \leq \frac{T^k}{k!}2^k D_\mu^k A.$$

In view of

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\frac{T^k}{k!}2^k D_\mu^k A = Ae^{2D_\mu T} < \infty,$$

we deduce that $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\frac{t^k\Delta^k}{k!}g(x)$ converges uniformly in $(0,T]$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a locally finite connected graph. For any $t > 0$ and $x \in V$, if g is bounded in V, then we have

$$\sum_{y\in V}\mu(y)\Delta p(t,x,y)g(y) = \sum_{y\in V}\mu(y)p(t,x,y)\Delta g(y). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. A direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{y\in V}\mu(y)\Delta p(t,x,y)g(y) &= \sum_{y\in V}\sum_{z\in V}\omega_{yz}\big(p(t,x,z)g(y)-p(t,x,y)g(y)\big)\\ &= \sum_{y\in V}\sum_{z\in V}\omega_{yz}p(t,x,z)g(y)-\sum_{y\in V}\sum_{z\in V}\omega_{yz}p(t,x,y)g(y)\\ &= \sum_{z\in V}\sum_{y\in V}\omega_{yz}p(t,x,y)g(z)-\sum_{y\in V}\sum_{z\in V}\omega_{yz}p(t,x,y)g(y)\\ &= \sum_{y\in V}\sum_{z\in V}\omega_{yz}p(t,x,y)g(z)-\sum_{y\in V}\sum_{z\in V}\omega_{yz}p(t,x,y)g(y)\\ &= \sum_{y\in V}\mu(y)p(t,x,y)\Delta g(y).\end{aligned}$$

Note that the above summations can be exchanged, since

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{y\in V}\sum_{z\in V}\big|\omega_{yz}p(t,x,y)g(z)\big| &\le \sum_{y\in V}\mu(y)p(t,x,y)\left(\sum_{z\in V}\frac{\omega_{yz}}{\mu(y)}\,|g(z)|\right)\\ &\le D_\mu A.\end{aligned}$$

The Lemma 3.2 is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that there exists a non-negative solution $u=u(t,x)$ of (1.1) in $[0,+\infty)\times V$. Since $a(x)$ is non-negative and not trivial in V, we can assume that $a(\nu)>0$ with $\nu\in V$.

Taking an arbitrary $T>0$, we put

$$J_T(s)=\sum_{x\in V}\mu(x)p(T-s,\nu,x)u(s,x)\quad(0\le s<T). \tag{3.3}$$

Obviously, J_T is continuous with respect to s. Since u is bounded, according to Lemma 3.1, we know that J_T exists even though G is locally finite.

Firstly, we show that J_T is positive for all $s\in[0,T)$. In fact, since $u(s,\nu)$ is non-negative in $[0,T)$ and f is non-negative in $[0,+\infty)$, for all $0\le s<T$, it follows that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial s}(s,\nu)-\Delta u(s,\nu)\ge 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u(s,\nu) &= \frac{1}{\mu(\nu)}\sum_{y\sim\nu}\omega_{\nu y}\big(u(s,y)-u(s,\nu)\big)\\ &\ge -\frac{1}{\mu(\nu)}\sum_{y\sim\nu}\omega_{\nu y}u(s,\nu)\\ &\ge -D_\mu u(s,\nu),\end{aligned}$$

then the inequality (3.4) gives

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial s}(s,\nu)\ge -D_\mu u(s,\nu),$$

which, together with $a(\nu)=u(0,\nu)>0$, yields

$$u(s,\nu)\ge u(0,\nu)\exp(-D_\mu s)>0,\quad s\in[0,T).$$

Hence, for all $0 \leq s < T$, we have

$$\sum_{x\in V} u(s,x) > 0.$$

In view of the fact that $p(T-s,\nu,x)$ is positive, we obtain $J_T(s)$ is positive in $[0,T)$.

Secondly, we shall prove that J_T is differentiable with respect to s and satisfies the following equation

$$\frac{d}{ds}J_T(s) = \sum_{x\in V} \mu(x)p(T-s,\nu,x)f(u(s,x)). \tag{3.5}$$

Since u is bounded, by Lemma 3.1, we know that J_T is uniformly convergent. Note also that, f is continuous in $[0,+\infty)$, which and the boundedness of u imply that $f(u)$ is bounded. Following from this and Lemma 3.1, we obtain that $\frac{d}{ds}J_T$ is also uniformly convergent. Hence we can exchange the order of summation and derivation, get

$$\frac{d}{ds}J_T(s) = \sum_{x\in V}\left(\mu(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}p(T-s,\nu,x)u(s,x) + \mu(x)p(T-s,\nu,x)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}u(s,x)\right).$$

From the property of heat kernel and Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{ds}J_T(s) =& \sum_{x\in V}\Big(-\mu(x)\Delta p(T-s,\nu,x)u(s,x) + \mu(x)p(T-s,\nu,x)\Big(\Delta u(s,x) + f(u(s,x))\Big)\Big)\\
=& -\sum_{x\in V}\mu(x)\Delta p(T-s,\nu,x)u(s,x) + \sum_{x\in V}\mu(x)p(T-s,\nu,x)\Delta u(s,x)\\
&+\sum_{x\in V}\mu(x)p(T-s,\nu,x)f(u(s,x))\\
=& \sum_{x\in V}\mu(x)p(T-s,\nu,x)f(u(s,x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thirdly, we need to show that

$$\frac{d}{ds}J_T \geq f(J_T). \tag{3.6}$$

Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \leq 1$ and $k_i \in [0,1)$, then there exists $k^* \in [0,1)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i + k^* = 1$. Since f is convex in $[0,+\infty)$, using Jensen's inequality, for any $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in [0,+\infty)$, we have

$$k_1 f(x_1) + k_2 f(x_2) + \cdots + k_n f(x_n) + k^* f(0) \geq f(k_1x_1 + k_2x_2 + \cdots + k_nx_n + k^*\cdot 0).$$

In view of $f(0) = 0$, we have

$$k_1 f(x_1) + k_2 f(x_2) + \cdots + k_n f(x_n) \geq f(k_1x_1 + k_2x_2 + \cdots + k_nx_n).$$

Especially, since f is continuous, if $\sum_{i=1}^\infty k_i f(x_i)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty k_i x_i$ converge, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty k_i f(x_i) \geq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty k_i x_i\right), \tag{3.7}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^\infty k_i \leq 1, \quad (0 \leq k_i < 1)$.

We have shown that J_T and $\frac{d}{ds}J_T$ both are convergent, which combines with (3.7) and

$$\sum_{x\in V}\mu(x)p(T-s,\nu,x) \leq 1$$

to obtain

$$\sum_{x\in V}\mu(x)p(T-s,\nu,x)f(u(s,x))\geq f\left(\sum_{x\in V}\mu(x)p(T-s,\nu,x)u(s,x)\right),$$

this is the desired inequality (3.6).

Next, we consider the following function:

$$\begin{aligned}Q(s)&=F(J_T(0))-F(J_T(s))\\&=\int_{J_T(0)}^{J_T(s)}\frac{1}{f(\tau)}d\tau\qquad(0\leq s<T).\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to find that this function is well defined, since $J_T(s)>0$ for any $s\in[0,T)$ and $f(\tau)>0$ for all $\tau>0$.

We observe from (3.6) that for any $s\in[0,T)$,

$$Q'(s)=J_T'(s)\frac{1}{f(J_T(s))}\geq 1.$$

Owing to $Q(0)=0$ and using the Mean-value theorem, for any $0<\varepsilon<T$, we get

$$Q(T-\varepsilon)\geq T-\varepsilon. \tag{3.8}$$

Since $f(\tau)>0$ for all $\tau>0$ and $J_T(s)>0$ for any $s\in[0,T)$, we conclude that $F(J_T(s))$ is positive for all $s\in[0,T)$. Furthermore, we deduce from inequality (3.8) that

$$F(J_T(0))>F(J_T(0))-F(J_T(T-\varepsilon))=Q(T-\varepsilon)\geq T-\varepsilon.$$

Letting $\varepsilon\to 0$, we obtain

$$F\left(\sum_{x\in V}\mu(x)p(T,\nu,x)a(x)\right)\geq T. \tag{3.9}$$

From the given condition $V(x,r)\leq c_0r^m$ $(c_0>0,r\geq 0,m>0)$ and the Proposition 2.2, we have for large enough T,

$$p(T,\nu,\nu)\geq\frac{1}{4c_0C_0^m}\left(T\log T\right)^{-m}. \tag{3.10}$$

Hence, for sufficiently large T, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{x\in V}\mu(x)p(T,\nu,x)a(x)\\\geq&\mu(\nu)p(T,\nu,\nu)a(\nu)\\\geq&\widetilde{C}(T\log T)^{-m},\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $\widetilde{C}=\frac{\mu(\nu)a(\nu)}{4c_0C_0^m}>0$.

Let us come back to the inequality (3.9), which, together with (3.11) and the fact that F is non-increasing, one obtains

$$F\left(\widetilde{C}(T\log T)^{-m}\right)\geq T \tag{3.12}$$

for large enough T.

On the other hand, it is easy to observe from the limit

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty}\frac{\widetilde{C}^{-\frac{1}{m}}\log T}{T^{\delta}}=0\qquad(\delta>0)$$

that

$$\widetilde{C}^{-\frac{1}{m}}\log T < T^{\delta} \qquad (\delta > 0)$$

for sufficiently large T. So, we can choose a $T_1 > 0$ such that

$$\widetilde{C}^{-\frac{1}{m}}\log T < T^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}} \qquad (0 < \theta < 1)$$

for all $T > T_1$. Since $f(\tau) > 0$ for $\tau > 0$, we find that F is strictly decreasing in $(0, +\infty)$. Thus we have

$$F\left(\widetilde{C}(T\log T)^{-m}\right) < F\left(T^{-\frac{m}{\theta}}\right) \tag{3.13}$$

for all $T > T_1$.

The given condition (1.3) in Theorem 1.1 shows that there exist a $T_2 \in (0, +\infty)$ and a $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that for $T > T_2$,

$$F\left(T^{-\frac{m}{\theta}}\right) \le T. \tag{3.14}$$

Combining (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$F\left(\widetilde{C}(T\log T)^{-m}\right) < T$$

for all $T > \max\{T_1, T_2\}$. However, this contradicts (3.12).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In order to prove Theorem 1.2 we need the following two lemmas

Lemma 4.1 (Strong Maximum Principle)**.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a locally finite connected graph and $\Omega \subset V$ be finite. For any $T > 0$, we assume that $v(t, x)$ is bounded and continuous with respect to t in $[0, T) \times \Omega$, which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}v(t,x) - \Delta_\Omega v(t,x) - k(t,x)v(t,x) \ge 0, & (t,x) \in (0,T)\times\Omega^\circ, \\ v(0,x) \ge 0, & x \in \Omega^\circ, \\ v(t,x) \ge 0, & (t,x) \in [0,T)\times\partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where $k(t, x)$ is bounded in $[0, T) \times \Omega^\circ$. Then, $v(t, x) \ge 0$ in $[0, T) \times \Omega$.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{L}v = v_t - \Delta_\Omega v - kv$. Then, we have $\mathcal{L}v \ge 0$ in $(0, T) \times \Omega^\circ$. Let (t_0, x_0) be a minimum point of function v in $(0, T) \times \Omega^\circ$. In the following, we shall prove $v(t_0, x_0) \ge 0$ by contradiction.

Assume that $v(t_0, x_0) < 0$. Case 1. If $k(t_0, x_0) < 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}v(t_0, x_0) < v_t(t_0, x_0) - \Delta_\Omega v(t_0, x_0).$$

Note that the function $t \mapsto v(t, x_0)$ in $(0, T)$ attains its minimum at $t = t_0$, we obtain

$$v_t(t_0, x_0) \le 0 \tag{4.2}$$

(if $t_0 < T$, then $v_t(t_0, x_0) = 0$). Hence, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{L}v(t_0, x_0) < -\Delta_\Omega v(t_0, x_0). \tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand, the function $x \mapsto v(t_0, x)$ in Ω° attains the minimum at $x = x_0$, thus

$$\Delta_\Omega v(t_0, x_0) = \frac{1}{\mu(x_0)}\sum_{y\sim x_0}\omega_{x_0 y}\big(v(t_0, y) - v(t_0, x_0)\big) \ge 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Substituting (4.4) into (4.3), we obtain $\mathcal{L}v(t_0,x_0)<0$, which is a contradiction with $\mathcal{L}v\geq 0$ in $(0,T)\times\Omega^\circ$.

Case 2. If $k(t_0,x_0)\geq 0$, since $k(t,x)$ is bounded in $[0,T)\times\Omega^\circ$, we perform a transformation $\psi=ve^{-lt}\,\big(l>k(t_0,x_0)\big)$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}v(t_0,x_0) &= v_t(t_0,x_0)-\Delta_\Omega v(t_0,x_0)-k(t_0,x_0)v(t_0,x_0)\\ &\xlongequal{v=e^{lt}\psi} e^{lt_0}\left(\psi_t(t_0,x_0)-\Delta_\Omega\psi(t_0,x_0)-(k(t_0,x_0)-l)\psi(t_0,x_0)\right)\\ &= e^{lt_0}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\psi(t_0,x_0),\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\psi\equiv\psi_t-\Delta_\Omega\psi-(k-l)\psi$.

Owing to $k(t_0,x_0)-l<0$ and $\psi(t_0,x_0)=v(t_0,x_0)e^{-lt_0}<0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\psi(t_0,x_0) &< \psi_t(t_0,x_0)-\Delta_\Omega\psi(t_0,x_0)\\ &= e^{-lt_0}\left(v_t(t_0,x_0)-\Delta_\Omega v(t_0,x_0)\right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Combining (4.2),(4.4) and (4.5), we obtain $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\psi(t_0,x_0)<0$. So, $\mathcal{L}v(t_0,x_0)=e^{lt_0}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\psi(t_0,x_0)<0$. This contradicts with $\mathcal{L}v\geq 0$ in $(0,T)\times\Omega^\circ$.

Hence, $v(t_0,x_0)\geq 0$. Furthermore, since $v(t,x)$ in $(0,T)\times\Omega^\circ$ attains its minimum at (t_0,x_0), we have $v(t,x)\geq 0$ in $(0,T)\times\Omega^\circ$. In addition, from (4.1) we find $v(t,x)\geq 0$ in $(\{0\}\times\Omega^\circ)\cup([0,T)\times\partial\Omega)$. Thus $v(t,x)\geq 0$ in $[0,T)\times\Omega$.

The proof of Lemma 4.1 is complete.

□

Lemma 4.2 (Comparison Principle)**.** Let $G=(V,E)$ be a locally finite connected graph and $\Omega\subset V$ be finite. For any $T>0$, we assume that $u(t,x)$ and $\underline{u}(t,x)$ are bounded and continuous with respect to t in $[0,T)\times\Omega$, which satisfy

$$\begin{cases}u_t-\Delta_\Omega u-g(u)\geq \underline{u}_t-\Delta_\Omega\underline{u}-g(\underline{u}), & (t,x)\in(0,T)\times\Omega^\circ,\\ u(0,x)\geq\underline{u}(0,x), & x\in\Omega^\circ,\\ u(t,x)\geq\underline{u}(t,x), & (t,x)\in[0,T)\times\partial\Omega,\end{cases}\tag{4.6}$$

where $g\in C^1[M_1,M_2]$ and $M_1\leq\underline{u},u\leq M_2$ for any $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\Omega$. Then, $u\geq\underline{u}$ in $[0,T)\times\Omega$.

Proof. Set $v=u-\underline{u}$. Then

$$v_t-\Delta_\Omega v\geq g(u)-g(\underline{u})$$

in $(0,T)\times\Omega^\circ$. Define a function by

$$k(t,x)=\begin{cases}\frac{g(u(t,x))-g(\underline{u}(t,x))}{u(t,x)-\underline{u}(t,x)} & \text{for } (t,x) \text{ such that } u(t,x)\neq\underline{u}(t,x)\\ 0 & \text{for } (t,x) \text{ such that } u(t,x)=\underline{u}(t,x).\end{cases}$$

Since $M_1\leq\underline{u},u\leq M_2$ for any $(t,x)\in[0,T)\times\Omega$ and $g\in C^1[M_1,M_2]$, by the Mean-value theorem, we deduce that $k(t,x)$ is bounded in $[0,T)\times\Omega$.

Moreover, it follows from the definition of $k(t,x)$ that $g(u)-g(\underline{u})=kv$. Hence, for any $(t,x)\in(0,T)\times\Omega^\circ$,

$$v_t-\Delta_\Omega v\geq kv.$$

Thus, the assertion of the Lemma 4.2 follows immediately from the Lemma 4.1.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We consider the function

$$J(t) = \sum_{x\in\Omega^\circ} \mu(x)u(t,x)\phi_1(x) \qquad (t \geq 0), \tag{4.7}$$

where ϕ_1 is a eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ (see Section 2). It is clear that $J(0) \equiv \kappa$, $J(t)$ is continuous with respect to t and well-defined on the existence interval of the solution u.

Firstly, we show that $J(t)$ is positive for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

In fact, from the Lemma 4.2 and the assumptions in Theorem 1.2 related to f, we find $u(t,x) \geq 0$ in $[0,\infty) \times \Omega$ when $a(x)$ is not negative in Ω°.

Set $\Omega_1 := \{x \in \Omega^\circ : a(x) > 0\}$. Since $a(x)$ is not trivial in Ω°, we get $\Omega_1 \neq \varnothing$. For any $z \in \Omega_1$, due to the fact that f is non-negative in $[0, +\infty)$, we have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t,z) - \Delta_\Omega u(t,z) \geq 0 \qquad (t \geq 0). \tag{4.8}$$

By elementary calculus, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\Omega u(t,z) &\geq -\frac{1}{\mu(z)} \sum_{y\sim z} \omega_{zy} u(t,z) \\ &\geq -D_\mu u(t,z). \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Combining (4.8) and (4.9), we verify that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t,z) \geq -D_\mu u(t,z). \tag{4.10}$$

The inequality (4.10) implies

$$u(t,z) \geq a(z)\exp(-D_\mu t) > 0 \qquad (t \geq 0).$$

Hence, for any $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\sum_{x\in\Omega^\circ} u(t,x) > 0.$$

Since $\mu(x)$ and $\phi_1(x)$ are positive in Ω°, we conclude $J(t) > 0$ for $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

Secondly, we prove that

$$J'(t) \geq -\lambda_1 J(t) + f(J(t)).$$

By using the fact that Δ_Ω is self-adjoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J'(t) &= \sum_{x\in\Omega^\circ} \mu(x)u_t(t,x)\phi_1(x) \\ &= \sum_{x\in\Omega^\circ} \mu(x)\phi_1(x)\Delta_\Omega u(t,x) + \sum_{x\in\Omega^\circ} \mu(x)\phi_1(x)f(u(t,x)) \\ &= \sum_{x\in\Omega^\circ} \mu(x)\Delta_\Omega\phi_1(x)u(t,x) + \sum_{x\in\Omega^\circ} \mu(x)\phi_1(x)f(u(t,x)) \\ &= -\lambda_1 \sum_{x\in\Omega^\circ} \mu(x)\phi_1(x)u(t,x) + \sum_{x\in\Omega^\circ} \mu(x)\phi_1(x)f(u(t,x)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Since $\sum_{x\in\Omega^\circ} \mu(x)\phi_1(x) = 1$, by Jensen's inequality, we obtain

$$\sum_{x\in\Omega^\circ} \mu(x)\phi_1(x)f(u(t,x)) \geq f\left(\sum_{x\in\Omega^\circ} \mu(x)\phi_1(x)u(t,x)\right) = f(J(t)). \tag{4.12}$$

Combining (4.11) with (4.12) gives

$$J'(t) \geq -\lambda_1 J(t) + f(J(t)). \tag{4.13}$$

Thirdly, we claim that there exists a positive constant $K > \kappa$ such that $f(\tau) > 2\lambda_1\tau$ for $\tau \geq K$.

We prove this assertion by contradiction. Assume that for any $K > \kappa$, there exists a $\tau^* \geq K$ such that

$$f(\tau^*) \leq 2\lambda_1\tau^*.$$

Based on the assumption above, given a fixed $K_0 > \kappa$, there exists a $\tau_0^* \geq K_0$ such that

$$f(\tau_0^*) \leq 2\lambda_1\tau_0^*.$$

In addition, for any $K > \tau_0^* > \kappa$, there exists a $\tau_k^* \geq K$ such that

$$f(\tau_k^*) \leq 2\lambda_1\tau_k^*.$$

Since $f(\tau)$ is convex in $[0, +\infty)$, for any $\tau \in (\tau_0^*, \tau_k^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau) &\leq \frac{\tau_k^* - \tau}{\tau_k^* - \tau_0^*} f(\tau_0^*) + \frac{\tau - \tau_0^*}{\tau_k^* - \tau_0^*} f(\tau_k^*) \\ &\leq 2\lambda_1\tau_0^* \cdot \frac{\tau_k^* - \tau}{\tau_k^* - \tau_0^*} + 2\lambda_1\tau_k^* \cdot \frac{\tau - \tau_0^*}{\tau_k^* - \tau_0^*} \\ &= 2\lambda_1\tau. \end{aligned}$$

In view of $\tau_0^* < K \leq \tau_k^*$, we get

$$f(\tau) \leq 2\lambda_1\tau, \qquad \tau \in (\tau_0^*, K).$$

Because K is an arbitrary number that is greater than τ_0^*, we obtain for all $\tau \in (\tau_0^*, +\infty)$,

$$f(\tau) \leq 2\lambda_1\tau. \tag{4.14}$$

Since f is positive in $(\tau_0^*, +\infty)$, the inequality (4.14) implies

$$\frac{1}{f(\tau)} \geq \frac{1}{2\lambda_1\tau}$$

for all $\tau \in (\tau_0^*, +\infty)$, which contradicts with the fact that $\frac{1}{f}$ is integrable at $\tau \to \infty$. Hence, there exists $K > \kappa$ such that $f(\tau) > 2\lambda_1\tau$ for $\tau \geq K$.

Now, we are in a position to prove the assertion of Theorem 1.2.

We note that $f(\tau)$ and $f(\tau) - \lambda_1\tau$ are positive in $(\kappa, +\infty)$, thus

$$\frac{1}{f(\tau) - \lambda_1\tau} < \frac{2}{f(\tau)} \qquad (\tau \geq K). \tag{4.15}$$

Since $\int_K^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{f(\tau)} < +\infty$, the inequality (4.15) implies

$$\int_K^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{f(\tau) - \lambda_1\tau} < +\infty.$$

This yields

$$\int_{J(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{f(\tau) - \lambda_1\tau} = \int_{J(0)}^{K} \frac{d\tau}{f(\tau) - \lambda_1\tau} + \int_K^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{f(\tau) - \lambda_1\tau} < +\infty. \tag{4.16}$$

It is clear that there is no singularity in the above integral, since $J(0) \equiv \kappa > 0$ and $f(\tau)$, $f(\tau) - \lambda_1 \tau$ are positive in $[\kappa, +\infty)$.

On the other hand, it follows from (4.13) that

$$\int_{J(0)}^{J(t)} \frac{dJ}{f(J) - \lambda_1 J} \geq t. \tag{4.17}$$

Letting $t \to +\infty$ in (4.17) leads to a contradiction with (4.16), which together with the property of continuation on the positive classical solution yields that $J(t) \to +\infty$ as $t \to T^-$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11671401).

References

[1] F. Bauer, B. Hua, J. Jost, The dual cheeger constant and spectra of infinite graphs, *Adv. Math.*, (1) **251**, (2014), 147–194.

[2] J. Bebernes, D. Eberly, Mathematical Problems from Combustion Theory, Applied Mathematics Series, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

[3] F.R.K. Chung, Spectral Graph Theory, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[4] Y.-S. Chung, Y.-S. Lee, S.-Y. Chung, Extinction and positivity of the solutions of the heat equations with absorption on networks, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **380**, (2011), 642–652.

[5] J. Dodziuk, W. S. Kendall, Combinatorial Laplacians and the isoperimetric inequality, *From Local Times to Global Geometry, Control and Physics, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. 150, K. D. Ellworthy, ed.*, (1986), 68–74.

[6] H. Fujita, On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. A. Math.*, (2) **13**, (1966), 109–124.

[7] H. Fujita, On some nonexistence and nonuniqueness theorems for nonlinear parabolic equations, *In Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Proc. Symp. Pure Mathematics, Chicago, IL, 1968, vol. 18, part 1*, (1970), 105–113.

[8] A. Grigoryan, Analysis on Graphs. Lecture Notes, University Bielefeld, 2009.

[9] A. Grigoryan, Y. Lin, Y. Yang, Yamabe type equations on graphs, *J. Differential Equations*, **261**, (2016), 4924–4943.

[10] A. Grigoryan, Y. Lin, Y. Yang, Kazdan-Warner equation on graph, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, (4) **55**, (2016).

[11] A. Grigoryan, Y. Lin, Y. Yang, Existence of positive solutions to some nonlinear equations on locally finite graphs, arXiv:1607.04548v1, 2016.

[12] S. Haeseler, M. Keller, D. Lenz, R. Wojciechowski, Laplacians on infinite graphs: Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, *J. Spectr. Theory*, (4) **2**, (2012), 397–432.

[13] S. Kaplan, On the growth of solutions of quasilinear parabolic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **16**, (1963), 305–333.

[14] Y. Lin, Y. Wu, On-diagonal lower estimate of heat kernel on graphs, arXiv:1612.08773v1, 2016.

[15] Y. Lin, Y. Wu, The existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a semilinear heat equation on graphs, arXiv:1702.03531v1, 2017.

[16] W. Liu, K. Chen, J. Yu, Extinction and asymptotic behavior of solutions for the ω-heat equation on graphs with source and interior absorption, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **435**, (2016), 112–132.

[17] W. F. Osgood, Beweis der Existenz einer Lösung der Differentialgleichung $dy/dx = f(x, y)$ ohne Hinzunahme der Cauchy-Lipschitzschen Bedingung, *Monatshefte der Mathemratik unid Physik*, **9**, (1898), 331–345.

[18] A. Weber, Analysis of the physical Laplacian and the heat flow on a locally finite graph, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, (1) **370**, (2010), 146–158.

[19] R. Wojciechowski, Heat kernel and essential spectrum of infinite graphs, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, (3) **58**, (2009), 1419–1442.

[20] Q. Xin, L. Xu, C. Mu, Blow-up for the ω-heat equation with Dirichelet boundary conditions and a reaction term on graphs, *Appl. Anal.*, (8) **93**, (2014), 1691–1701.

Yong Lin,
Department of Mathematics, Renmin University of China, Beijing, 100872, P. R. China
linyong01@ruc.edu.cn
Yiting Wu,
Department of Mathematics, Renmin University of China, Beijing, 100872, P. R. China
yitingly@126.com